



Standard Models 2 for Finite Domain Constraint Solving

Helmut Simonis COSYTEC SA

4, rue Jean Rostand F-91893 Orsay Cedex France simonis@cosytec.fr

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998

Standard Models 2

1



Aims

- Present typical applications and their models
- Step towards a methodology of finite domain modeling
- Help user of constraint systems
- Realistic examples
- Examples of evaluation of models





Overview

- Introduction
 - Problem classification scheme
 - Finite domain constraint solving
 - Global constraints
 - Search techniques
- Models
 - 3 case studies
 - Model in CHIP
 - Experimental results
- Evaluation
 - Limits and possibilities
 - Other models





Caveats

• Work in progress

- models evolve in time
- new constraints, new strategies appear
- Each problem is different
 - additional constraints
 - core of model remains the same
- There are no general solutions
 - need to adapt constraints, strategies
 - verify solutions against real data
- Models take advantage of CHIP features
 - heavy use of global constraints, partial search





Limited Liability

- Examples are industrial prototypes, not a PhD thesis
 - developed in a few days
 - based on a number of operational systems
- Better results possible with more time to study problem
 - examples:
 - Stand allocation
 - Nurse scheduling
- The model may not work for you
 - design decisions
 - bottleneck detection in problem
 - data dependent





What it does not show

- Simplified view of problem
 - typical projects take 6-12 months
- Only some constraints selected
 - limit complexity
- Project issues
 - knowledge acquisition
 - interfaces
 - data model
- Test data given
 - usually big task in project
- No dedicated graphical user interface
 - standard tools in CHIP









Part 1

Introduction







Problem classification scheme

- Another PACT tutorial
 - Pact96
- Long paper version available
 - ASIAN96 workshop
- Shows which areas are susceptible to approach
 - overview of published attempts
 - mentions full systems
- Identifies strong areas for finite domain constraints





Overview

- Hardware design
- Compilation
- Financial problems
- Placement
- Cutting problems
- Stand allocation
- Air traffic control
- Frequency allocation
- Network configuration
- Product design
- Production step planning
- Production sequencing

- Production scheduling
- Satellite tasking
- Maintenance planning
- Product blending
- Time tabling
- Crew rotation
- Aircraft rotation
- Transport
- Personnel assignment
- Personnel requirement planning





Four central topics

- Scheduling
 - Production scheduling
 - Project planning
- Assignment
 - Parking assignment
 - Platform allocation
- Transport
 - Lorry, train, airlines
- Personnel assignment
 - Train, airlines





Last year's models

- Assignment
 - stand allocation for airport
 - classical problem for CLP
- Scheduling
 - resource restricted scheduling
 - benchmark problem in OR/scheduling
- Personnel time tabling
 - nurse scheduling
 - nice model, good results
- Transport
 - airline fleet rotation
 - solved to optimality





Update

Stand allocation

- 0/1 integer programming model
- proof of optimality
- T. Kasper, MPI, Saarbruecken
- Nurse scheduling
 - 0/1 integer programming model
 - A. Bockmayr, MPI, Saarbruecken
 - 3 instances: solution missing (as in CHIP)
- Nurse scheduling
 - Gymnaste: Product by UJF, Praxim, COSYTEC
 - presentation at conference





This year's models

- Scheduling
 - Production scheduling
 - Real-life complications
 - Multi-criteria search
- Transport
 - Lorry Bulk Transport
 - Linked to scheduling
- Production Sequencing
 - Batch based production
 - Linked to scheduling









Some Background on CLP





Incomplete finite domain solver

- Domain
 - finite sets of values
 - subsets of natural numbers
- Need for enumeration
- Classification criteria
 - constraint granularity
 - richness of constraint sets
 - propagation results
 - user definable constraints/control
- Methods
 - explicit domain representation
 - bound propagation/ removal of interior values
 - heuristics based on domains

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



Global constraints

- Work on sets of variables
 - global conditions, not local constraints
- Semantic methods
 - Operations Research
 - spatial algorithms
 - graph theory
 - network flows
- Building blocks (high-level constraint primitives)
 - as general as possible
 - multi-purpose
 - very strong propagation (within acceptable algorithmic complexity)
 - proper level of abstraction





Constraint morphology





The Cumulative global constraint



Cumulative constraint

- Resource limits over periods of time
- Upper/lower limits
- Soft/hard limits
- Gradual constraint relaxation

Application

 Resource restrictive scheduling, producer consumer constraints, disjunctive schedule, manpower constraints, overtime

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



The Diffn global constraint



Diffn constraint

- non overlapping areas on n-dimensional rectangles
- distances between rectangles
- limit use of areas
- relaxation

Application

 layout, packing, resource assignment, setup, distribution planning, time-tabling

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



The Cycle global constraint



Cycle constraint

- Finds cycles in directed graphs with minimal cost
- Assign resources, find compatible start dates

Applications

 Tour planning, personnel rotation, distribution problems, production sequencing

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



The Among global constraint



- Among constraint
 - How often do values occur in (sub)sequences
 - based on counting arguments
 - interaction between sequences
- Applications
 - production sequencing, time tabling, coloring problems, set covering

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998





The Precedence global constraint



- Precedence constraint
 - Combine resource constraints and precedence networks
 - Reasoning on latency (position in network)
 - Co-operation between multiple resources
- Applications
 - resource restricted scheduling, channel routing, frequency allocation

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



The Sequence global constraint



- Sequence constraint
 - constraints on pattern inside sequences
 - combinatorial pattern matching
 - counting arguments
- Applications
 - Time tabling, personnel assignment,
 - work rules, scheduling with daily working time limits

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



The power of global constraints





Search strategies

- How to find values for variables
- Central to application of strategies/heuristics
- Chronological backtracking
 - explores full search tree
 - complete
 - often stuck in one part of tree
- Partial search
 - combination of credit based search with nearly deterministic local search
 - not complete
 - polynomial complexity
 - used to explore different parts of search tree in systematic fashion
 - uses normal variable and value selection criteria





26

Example of partial search tree





Search tree visualization

- Generation of search tree representation at run-time
- Shows parent child relation, failed sub-trees, success nodes
- In examples here
 - leaf failure nodes suppressed
 - failure trees not collapsed
- Interface a set of simple meta-call predicates
- Supported by work in DISCIPL Esprit project
 - Declarative debugging
 - Visualization of constraint programming results
- Understand behaviour of different strategies







Typical search tree visual







Part 2

Models







Example 1: Production Scheduling

- Based on multiple real-life applications
 - Ignores some of the complexity
 - Simplified interaction between production days
- Typical semi-process scheduling problem
- Shows three different aspects of scheduling
 - task view
 - what is made when
 - successor view
 - what is followed by what
 - sequence view
 - how steps are arranged in sequence



Complex Systems Technologies





Example solution





Constraints

- Products come in different sizes
- Product/line specific duration,
- Line preference given by end-user
- Made to order production
- Orders multiple of batch sizes
- Not all products can be made on all lines
- Fastest line is not always best





Throughput

- Throughput
 - based on historical data
 - updated periodically
- Line preference
 - quality criteria
 - utilization of plant with normal order book

Line	Throughput	Preference
1	9000	9
2	15000	3
3	6000	6
4	-	-





Setup

- Product sizes 1-5
- Same size, same product: no setup
- Same size, different product: cleaning time
- Different size: machine set-up
- Not symmetrical

	1	2	3	4	5
1	15	60	-	20	-
2	60	15	90	70	-
3	-	70	15	-	-
4	25	70	-	15	-
5	-	-	-	-	0





Product contamination

- Contamination risk on production lines
- Can not make some products after other products
 - based on chemical composition
 - derivation from first principles rather complex
 - very high quality standards: no exceptions, ever
- Preference to make some product after given product
 - ignored here
- For each order
 - list of possible follow-on products
- Systematic cleaning operation at end of day




Analysis results

- Main bottleneck
 - production lines
- Serious problem
 - finished product storage
- Also problem
 - formulation
- No problem
 - intake
 - raw material
 - intermediate storage
 - off- lift





Design decision

- Scheduling of production lines
- Second solver for storage allocation
- Third solver for batch sequencing





Objectives for line scheduling

- 100% on-time
- No contamination
- Min setup
- Max line preference
- Max throughput
- Min stock cost
- Min bin space used
- Keep safety margin against delays
- Min energy cost
- Balance work load





Data

- Order table
 - Nr Product Qty Due Date Time required
- Throughput
 - Product Line Throughput Preference
- Setup
 - Product size
 Product size
 Duration
- Contamination
 - Product List of possible follow-on products





Model

- Object model
- Variables
- Constraints
- Search Method







Object model

- 1-1 correspondence order <-> task
 - no need for combine orders for runs
 - different due dates
 - ease of extension
- Task class
 - static data
 - nr, qty, product, size, due date
- Machine class
 - static data
 - nr



Complex Systems Technologies

Variables





Constraints

- linking variables
- diffn for machine assignment
- cycle for successor view
- redundant cumulative for machine use
- redundant cumulative for bin packing





Linking variables

- Machine dependent duration
 - element(Mach, Duration table, Duration)
- Line Preference
 - element(Mach, Line preference table, Line Preference)
 - Line Preference #>= Min Preference
- Setup
 - element(Succ, Setup table, Setup)
- Weight
 - Weight #= Dur+Setup
- Start, End
 - End #= Start+Weight
 - End #<= Due</p>





Machine assignment





Cycle graph



Complex Systems Technologies

Machine use

Redundant cumulative constraint





Bin packing view

- Redundant cumulative constraint
- Projection on machines





Program skeleton

run(Day):-

create_objects(Day,Tasks,Machines),

length(Machines,M),length(Tasks,N),N1 is N+M, prepare_machine_variables(Machines,N1), prepare_variables(Tasks,N1,Tasks), extract_rect(Tasks,Rect), extract_3(Tasks,Start3,Dur3,Res3), extract_bin_packing(Tasks,Start,Dur,Res), End :: 0..2880, Height :: 1..5, Limit3 :: 0..3,

set_cycle(Machines,Tasks,N1), diffn(Rect,unused,unused,[End,Height]), cumulative(Start3,Dur3,Res3,unused,unused,Limit3,End,unused), cumulative(Start,Dur,Res,unused,unused,End,unused,unused),

toggle(method,current(Method)), choose_method(Method,Machines,Tasks,Rect,End).

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



Variable set-up

prepare_variables([],_,_). prepare_variables([A|A1],N,Tasks):num(start,current(Low)), num(pref,current(Min_pref)), A@start :: Low..2880, A@end :: Low..2880, prepare_machine_choice(A,[1,2,3,4],Machine_list,Line_pref_list,Duration_list), A@mach :: Machine_list, element(A@mach,Line_pref_list,A@pref), A@pref #>= Min_pref, element(A@mach,Duration_list,A@dur), A@due is 1440+A@time, A@due #> A@start+A@dur, A@end #= A@start+A@dur, A@succ :: 1..N, A@pred :: 1..N, prepare_setup(A,Tasks,Setup_list), element(A@succ,Setup_list,A@setup), A@weight :: 0..2880, A@weight # = A@dur + A@setup, prepare_variables(A1,N,Tasks).

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



Cycle constraint

set_cycle(Mach,Tasks,Size):extract_cycle_machine(Mach,Succ1,Weight1,Assign1,Start1,Pred1,Weights),
extract_cycle_task(Tasks,Succ2,Weight2,Assign2,Start2,Pred2),
length(Mach,N),
append(Succ1,Succ2,Succ),
append(Weight1,Weight2,Weight),
append(Assign1,Assign2,Assign),
append(Start1,Start2,Start),
append(Pred1,Pred2,Pred),
cycle(N,Succ,Weight,0,10000,Assign1,Weights,Assign,Start),
inverse(Succ,Pred,all,all).





Search Strategies

- Conventional labeling
 - assign start time and machine
- Successor labeling
 - assign follow-on production
- Continuation labeling
 - assign predecessor , one task at a time
- Snake
 - one machine at a time, assign successors
- Cyclic snake
 - all machines, define cyclic successors
- Multi-snake
 - all machines, define successors dynamically

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998

Standard Models 2



Conventional labeling

- Assign machine and start date
 - does not work with setup times
 - after assignment in time and on machine
 - not easy to understand the implication for sequencing
 - gaps wide enough for additional tasks ?
 - requires left to right assignment





Example Code

choose_method(a,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):method_extract_a(Tasks,Vars), min_max((labeling(Vars,1,smallest,assign_a), draw(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).

 $\label{eq:method_extract_a([],[]).} \\ method_extract_a([A|A1],[t(S,M,W)|R]):- \\ S = A@start, \\ M = A@mach, \\ W = A@weight, \\ method_extract_a(A1,R). \\ \end{cases}$

assign_a(t(S,M,W)):indomain(M), indomain(S), indomain(W).







Successor labeling

- Choose successor for each task
- assign start times as second step
- creates unconnected lines





Example Code

choose_method(b,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):method_extract_b(Tasks,Vars), method_extract_start(Tasks,Start), min_max((labeling(Vars,1,most_constrained,assign_b), labeling(Start,0,smallest,indomain), draw(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).

method_extract_b([],[]).
method_extract_b([A|A1],[t(Succ,Setup)|R]):Succ = A@succ,
Setup = A@setup,
method_extract_b(A1,R).

assign_b(t(Succ,Setup)):fix_b(Succ,Setup). method_extract_start([],[]).
method_extract_start([A|A1],[S|R]): S = A@start,
 method_extract_start(A1,R).

fix_b(Succ,Setup): Succ #> 4,
 indomain(Setup),
 indomain(Succ).
fix_b(Succ,Setup): Succ #<= 4,
 indomain(Succ).</pre>



Standard Models 2



Continuation labeling





Standard Models 2



60

Example Code

```
choose_method(f,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):-
         method_extract_f(Mach,Machine_nr),
         min_max((back_snake(Tasks,Machine_nr), draw(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).
   method_extract_f([],[]).
   method_extract_f([A|A1],[S|R]):-
         S = A@nr,
         method_extract_f(A1,R).
   back_snake([],_).
   back_snake([H|T],Frontier):-
         back_snake_select(X,[H|T],R,Frontier),
         delete(X@pred,Frontier,Rest),
         inc back,
         once(indomain(X@start)),
         back_snake(R,[X@nr|Rest]).
   back_snake_select(A,B,C,Frontier):-
         delete(A,B,C),
         not not member(A@pred,Frontier),
February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998
                                           Standard Models 2
```



Snake





Example Code

```
choose_method(h,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):-
     append(Mach,Tasks,Obj_list),
     Objs =..[f|Obj_list],
     min_max((snake(Objs,Mach),draw(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).
snake(Objs,[]).
snake(Objs,[X|Frontier]):-
     fix_b(X@succ,X@setup),
     snake_cont(X,Objs,Frontier).
snake_cont(X,Objs,Rest):-
     X@succ > 4,!,
     arg(X@succ,Objs,Obj),
     once(indomain(Obj@start)),
     snake(Objs,[Obj|Rest]).
snake_cont(_,Objs,Rest):-
     snake(Objs,Rest).
```





Cyclic Snake





Example Code

```
choose_method(i,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):-
     append(Mach,Tasks,Obj_list),
     Objs =..[f|Obj_list],
     min_max((cyclic_snake(Objs,Mach),draw(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).
cyclic_snake(Objs,[]).
cyclic_snake(Objs,[X|Frontier]):-
     fix_b(X@succ,X@setup),
     cyclic_snake_cont(X,Objs,Frontier).
cyclic_snake_cont(X,Objs,Rest):-
     X@succ > 4.!.
     arg(X@succ,Objs,Obj),
     once(indomain(Obj@start)),
     append(Rest,[Obj],Frontier),
     cyclic_snake(Objs,Frontier).
cyclic_snake_cont(_,Objs,Rest):-
     cyclic_snake(Objs,Rest).
```





Multi-snake



February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998

Standard Models 2



Example Code

choose_method(k,Mach,Tasks,Rect,End):- append(Mach,Tasks,Obj_list), Objs =[f Obj_list], min_max((multi_snake_end(Objs,Mach), dra	w(Rect,Tasks)),End,0,2880,0,60).
multi_snake_end(Objs,[]). multi_snake_end(Objs,[F Frontier]):- multi_snake_end_select(X,[F Frontier],Rest), fix_b(X@succ,X@setup), multi_snake_end_cont(X,Objs,Rest).	!,
<pre>multi_snake_end_cont(X,Objs,Rest):- X@succ > 4,!, arg(X@succ,Objs,Obj), once(indomain(Obj@start)), multi_snake_end(Objs,[Obj Rest]). multi_snake_end_cont(_,Objs,Rest):- multi_snake_end(Objs,Rest).</pre>	
multi_snake_end_select(A,B,C):- list_attr_sort(B,[A C],end,end).	
February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998 Standar	d Models 2



Results

• Strategies used

- a: conventional labeling
- b: successor, most constrained on succ, assign setup
- c: successor, smallest on succ, assign setup
- d: successor, most constrained on setup, assign setup
- e: successor, smallest on setup, assign setup
- f: continuation
- g: continuation, selection on smallest start
- h: snake
- i: cyclic snake
- j: multi snake on start
- k: multi snake on end





Parameters

- Optimization criteria: min End time
 - aims at balancing lines
- Optimization time limit 60s
- run on PC Pentium 233MHZ/64 Mb
- Windows NT 4.0
- CHIP V5.1 product version





Last solution End date

Day	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k
11	_	1251	1334	1251	1638	1486	1546	1324	1282	1324	1251
12	_	1473	1629	1764	1783	1553	1807	1473	1488	1473	1516
13	_	1305	1290	1290	1464	1402	1492	1726	1726	1726	1535
16	_	1657	_	1561	1702	_	_	1896	1934	1896	1561
17	_	1433	1523	1509	1640	_	1741	1430	1509	1430	1450
18	_	1850	1850	1850	1940	_	_	1850	1850	1850	1850
19	_	1468	1352	1481	1523	1403	1505	1434	1371	1434	1284
20	_	1353	1559	1080	1150	1356	1047	1456	960	1456	1032





Last solution Production time

Day	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k
11	—	3297	3326	3324	3342	3260	3291	3333	3328	3333	3324
12	-	4328	4295	4252	4311	4346	4292	4328	4328	4328	4325
13	-	3694	3712	3712	3719	3686	3731	3781	3781	3781	3751
16	-	4179	_	4098	4122	_	_	4217	4223	4217	4122
17	-	4118	4109	4135	4091	-	4066	4118	4135	4118	4116
18	-	3893	3855	3836	3836	_	_	3893	3817	3893	3801
19	_	3452	3374	3322	3360	3378	3361	3450	3432	3450	3413
20	_	2522	2552	2401	2453	2499	2449	2541	2425	2541	2441





Last solution Setup

				T		T					
Day	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k
11	_	1005	1080	970	1410	1350	1455	970	985	970	970
12	-	925	910	910	1255	1090	1150	925	940	925	955
13	-	905	905	900	1285	1180	1180	900	900	900	915
16	-	1000	-	955	1495	_	-	970	955	970	970
17	-	950	985	945	1165	_	1225	945	945	945	960
18	-	845	845	845	1100	_	-	845	845	845	875
19	_	965	955	945	1240	1225	1285	945	960	945	975
20	_	745	745	745	910	850	880	745	760	745	760





Last solution Throughput

	1	I	1	I	1	1	I	1	1	1	
Day	a	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k
11	_	199	197	197	196	201	199	197	197	197	197
12	_	201	203	205	202	200	203	201	201	201	201
13	-	196	195	195	195	196	194	191	191	191	193
16	_	196	_	200	199	-	-	194	194	194	199
17	_	191	191	190	192	-	193	191	190	191	191
18	_	174	176	177	177	-	_	174	178	174	179
19	_	189	194	197	195	194	195	190	190	190	192
20	_	212	209	222	218	214	218	210	220	210	219




Extensions

- Model shown too simplistic
- Preference on sequence
- Effect of hard/soft due date
- Storage limitation
 - from formulation/ to finished product store
 - fixed per task
 - producer/consumer
- Dynamic throughput
- Calendars





Summary

Different from classical scheduling

Encountered in many environments

- chemical industry
- pharma
- petro-chemical
- food industry
- agricultural
- consumer products
- Not one best solution





Example 2: Lorry Transport

- Typical bulk delivery
- Delivery trips from factory to customers
- Single source problem
- Related to Solomon problems
- Continuation of problem 1
- Surprising similarity in model to problem 1





76

Process





Constraints

- lorry types (capacity, number of compartments)
- loading/ unloading times
- time windows for customer delivery
- Inter-location constraints
- accessibility of locations
- distance/ driving time
- one shift operation
- return to base
- unloading sequence (contamination)
- product size





Lorry Types

- Non homogeneous fleet
- Compartments
 - multiple of 3 ton
 - up to 7 products
- Overall Capacity

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998

- 12
- 15
- 22



Standard Models 2



Locations

- Distributed around factory
 - different product families have different distribution
- Access restrictions
 - lorry type
 - width of road
 - turning circle
- Time windows
 - not during night
 - first/last delivery
 - rare: limited time window (more often for re-distribution problems)





Inter-location constraints

- Distance matrix
 - based on road network
 - quite different from Euclidean distance
- Order of visit
 - multiple drops for same customer
- Partial order between locations
 - never go from this location to that
 - agricultural constraints
 - growth cycle of animals
 - disease control





Contamination problem

- Depends on lorry type
 - sealed compartments
 - tanker
- Incompatible products
 - never transport together on same lorry
 - never put in neighboring compartments
 - contamination in delivery sequence
 - tipping sequence







Objectives

- on-time, in full
- no contamination
- respect work rules
- min mileage
- min transport cost
- utilization of existing lorries
- cost of extra lorries hired-in
- MOT/downtime restrictions
- balance work load





Data

- orders
- customers
- driving times
- vehicles
- factory
- products
- product size
- contamination





Model

- Objects
- Variables
- Constraints
- Search Method





Object model

- delivery
 - static data
 - product,
 - size,
 - qty,
 - compartments needed
- trip
 - static data
 - compartments
 - capacity
 - max mileage/driving time per day





Variables

- successors
 - contamination
 - inter-location
- weight
 - three types
 - distance to successor/driving time
 - 1 (use of one product, atleast one compartment)
 - qty (weight)
- assignment
- start times
 - corresponds to distance traveled/ arrival time at location
- work span of lorries
 - max driving time/ max distance

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998

Standard Models 2



Constraints

- given number of compartments per lorry
- given capacity per lorry
- max distance per lorry
- location constraints
- inter-location constraints
- contamination control





Cycle graph





Cycle1 - Number of stops

- Weight of nodes
 - 1 (stronger: number of compartments needed)
- Capacity of special nodes
 - number of compartments in lorry
- Weight of special nodes
 - 0





Cycle2 - Capacity

- Weight of nodes
 - qty of product (stronger: rounded to nearest multiple of compartment size)
- Capacity of special nodes
 - capacity in tons of lorry
- Weight of special nodes
 - 0





Cycle3 - Distance

- Weight of nodes
 - defined by matrix
 - unloading time + distance to successor
- Capacity of special nodes
 - max working time
- Weight of special nodes
 - loading time + distance to successor





Redundant diffn



Complex Systems Technologies

Redundant cumulative





Bin packing view





Program skeleton

run(Day):-

create_objects(Day,Deliveries,Trips), length(Trips,M), length(Deliveries,N), N1 is N+M, prepare_trip_variables(Trips,N1), prepare_variables(Deliveries,N1,Deliveries), End :: 0..1000, Height :: 0..1000,

set_cycle(Trips,Deliveries,N1,Ends,Lorries_used,Cost),
project(Deliveries,[start,trip,weight3,1],Rect1),
project(Trips,[0,trip,weight3,1],Rect2),
append(Rect1,Rect2,Rect),
diffn(Rect,unused,unused,[End,Height]),

choose_method(Method,Trips,Deliveries,Rect,Ends,Lorries_used,Cost).





Variable setup

```
prepare_trip_variables([],_).

prepare_trip_variables([A|A1],N):-

A@succ :: 1..N,

A@pred :: 1..N,

A@trip = A@nr,

A@weights1 :: 0..A@compartments,

A@weights2 :: 0..A@capacity,

A@weights3 :: 0..1000,

A@weight1 = 0,

A@weight2 = 0,

A@weight3 :: 0..1000,

prepare_trip_variables(A1,N).
```

prepare_variables([],__,_). prepare_variables([A|A1],N,Deliveries):-A@succ :: 1..N, A@pred :: 1..N, A@weight1 = A@compartments, A@weight2 is A@qty, A@weight3 :: 0..1000, A@trip :: 1..N, A@start :: 0..1000, A@end :: 0..1000, A@end #= A@start + A@weight3, prepare_variables(A1,N,Deliveries).





Cycle constraints

set_cycle(Trips,Deliveries,Size,Weights32,Trip1,Cost):project(Deliveries, [[succ], [pred], [weight1], [weight2], [weight3], [trip], [start]], [Succ1, Pred1, Weight11, Weight21, Weight31, Trip1, Start1]), project(Trips,[[succ],[pred],[weight1],[weight2],[weight3],[trip],[weights1],[weights2],[weights3],[start]] , [Succ2, Pred2, Weight12, Weight22, Weight32, Trip2, Weights12, Weights22, Weights32, Start2]), length(Trips,N), append(Succ1,Succ2,Succ),append(Weight11,Weight12,Weight1), append(Weight21,Weight22,Weight2),append(Weight31,Weight32,Weight3), append(Trip1,Trip2,Trip),append(Start1,Start2,Start), append(Pred1,Pred2,Pred), cycle(N,Succ,Weight1,0,10000,Trip2,Weights12,Trip), cycle(N,Succ,Weight2,0,10000,Trip2,Weights22,Trip), append(Deliveries, Trips, Objs), create matrix(Objs,Objs,Matrix), Cost :: 0..100000, cycle(N,Succ,Weight3,0,200,Trip2,Weights32,Trip,[#=,Start],unused,[Cost,Matrix]), inverse(Succ, Pred, all, all).

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998





Search Strategies

- Successor labeling
- Snake
- Multi-snake





Successor labeling

- find node to expand by heuristic
- find successors based on heuristic
- cycles not build left to right



Complex Systems Technologies

Solution a





101

Snake

- Only one cycle "open" at a time
- Always select end of current line to expand
 - switch to new cycle when previous cycle closed
- Select successor with heuristic
 - e.g. use node with minimum distance





Solution **b**





Multi-snake

- select which line to expand based on heuristic (deterministic)
- select successor with heuristic (non-deterministic)
- multiple cycles "open" at same time



Complex Systems Technologies

Solution c





Intuition

- Successor labeling
 - build tours which low mileage
 - may need more vehicles
- Snake
 - get most out of a resource in work done
 - may be quite bad in mileage objective
- Multi-snake
 - use all resources equally well
 - works best with high ratio delivery/trip
- Bin packing strategies
 - ignore location continuity
 - success depends on data set



File τ View τ Display τ Show τ Variables τ To Limit Solutions: 1 Limit Choices: 1000 March	ools ⊽	Failurae 81 Solutione 0	
View search domain state Min 1 Max 134 Delaved (Goals 5		
View search_domain_state Min 1 Max 134 Delayed C	Goals 5		

Done to continue, Click on houe for details



Node 1 Level 1 Index 68 Label Ovar Internal nr 2364 Value 77



Node 76 Level 76 Index 32 Label Ovar Internal nr 2148 Value 112


Extensions

- Possible back loads
- Multiple factories
- Multiple trips
 - complete working day/days
 - wave model
- Owned/hired lorries
- Work rules for drivers







Example 3: Production Sequencing

- Produce batches of product for use on production lines
- Assume full qty must be available before starting line task
- Line schedule run before, start times known
- Batch based production at N batches/hr
- Slot based timing
 - if slot is missed, this batch must be skipped
- Limited storage capacity for intermediate products





Process





Constraints

- Correct number of batches for each product to be made
- Batches for order must be made before start of line task
- Not too early as this needs too much storage
- No contamination problem in sequence
- Making multiple batches for same product is easier (preference)





Number of batches





Standard Models 2



Time windows





Null batches







Contamination



possible contamination risk

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



Objectives

- In-time production
- No contamination
- Min stock of intermediate product
- Repeated batches





Data

- Orders
 - product,
 - size (ignored),
 - qty,
 - day,
 - time required(from line schedule)
- Batch size
- Batch duration
- Contamination information





Model

Variables

- one variable per batch
- domain over all possible products/ null (empty) batch special value

Constraints

- one among constraint with multiple support to express demand
- one among constraint per order to express time window
- one sequence constraint to express forbidden successors
- Search method
 - forward/backward labeling





Alternative model

- Cycle based model possible
 - one cycle describes sequence of products
 - duration one for all batches
 - time windows correspond to position in cycle
- Better contamination control/propagation of contamination
- Performance problem with many batches





121

Search Strategies

- Assign forward
 - safety margins (+)
 - stock level (-)
- Assign backward
 - stock level (+)
- Selection based on heuristic







Part 3

Summary



Standard Models 2



Evaluation

- Presented typical problems for finite domain constraints
- Each expressed easily by combination of global constraints
 - Examples for each of the global constraints
- Standard search methods provide good answers
 - snake family for problems with cycle constraint
 - often possible to improve by using custom programming
- Test cases show some stability of model for varying data
 - very important for practical applications





Production Scheduling

- Semi-process industry scheduling
- Alternative machines
- Varying speed / quality
- In-time production
- Setup / Sequencing constraints
- Starting point for multiple extensions
- Uses diffn, cumulative, cycle
- Not for campaign based production (cycles)
 - difference in importance of stock cost / shelf life

February 08(C) COSYTEC SA, 1998



Lorry Transport

- Distribution problem
 - one source, multiple sinks
 - trip based
 - sequence control
- Model very similar to problem 1





Production Sequencing

- Slot based batch production
- Simple intermediate stock view
- Unit duration
- Model with among / sequence constraints
- Alternative model with cycle





Problems discussed

- Stand assignment
 - aircraft parking assignment
- Resource restricted scheduling
 - Alvarez problems
- Personnel assignment
 - nurse scheduling
- Flight rotation planning
 - plane rotations
- Production scheduling
 - semi-process industry
- Distribution scheduling
 - bulk delivery
- Production sequencing
 - batch based production



Constraints used

	cumulative	diffn	cycle	precedence	among	sequence	inverse
apache		X					
alvarez	X			X			
nurse					X	X	
flight			X				
production scheduling	X	X	X				X
transport	X	X	X				X
sequencing					X	X	





Next steps

Evaluate results

- try different CLP systems
 - data available
- test other methods
- more test data

Wish list

- crew scheduling
- layout problems





Thanks

- helpful comments
 - A. Aggoun
 - N. Beldiceanu
 - E. Bourreau
 - V. Bauche
- experience from past and current projects
 - P. Kay
 - P. Charlier
 - T. Cornelissens
- for the loan of the projector
 - P. Deransart

